

Monitoring Action for Civic Space



Country report

DENMARK

December 2025





About this report



Authors: Lui Andersen, Liva Tofft and Dr. Regitze H. Rohlfing

Reporting period: January-November 2025



Co-funded by
the European Union

Co-funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.



European Center for
Not-for-Profit Law



BCNL

new
europa
sustainable and inclusive



ökotárs
alapítvány



NETHERLANDS
HELSINKI
COMMITTEE



Ogólnopolska
Federacja
Organizacji
Pozarządowych



Civil Society
Development
Foundation

The MACS: Monitoring Action for Civic Space project is implemented by the European Center for Not-for-Profit Law (ECNL), European Civic Forum, Bulgarian Center for Not-for-Profit Law, Foreningen Nyt Europa, Le Mouvement associatif, Okotárs Alapítvány, Stichting Nederlands Helsinki Comité, Ogólnopolska Federacja Organizacji Pozarządowych, Fundația pentru Dezvoltarea Societății Civile.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

About this report.....	2
Key developments	4
Pressure is mostly rhetoric and practice-driven	4
Conditional funding undermines autonomy and voice	4
A worsening of safe space across segments	4
Dimensions.....	6
1. Freedom of Association	6
2. Access to Funding	7
3. Freedom of Peaceful Assembly	9
4. Freedom of Expression	10
5. Right to Participation in Decision-Making	11
6. Safe Space	13
Recommendations	15
Methodology	16



Key developments

Denmark has historically been considered a robust democracy with a strong civil society. Collaboration between the state and civil society organisations (CSOs) has been a cornerstone for the development and implementation of policies, and CSOs have generally enjoyed a vibrant civic space. However, Denmark has not been immune to the increasing autocratic trends spreading globally and in Europe in the last 15 years. While it is important to stress that Danish democracy is well off, compared to other countries in Europe, worrisome developments have placed civic space under pressure. A survey amongst Danish CSOs from 2025 found that 22% of respondents expressed that civic space is in a poor state.¹

Pressure is mostly rhetoric and practice-driven

Although Denmark maintains a strong legal framework for the rights to association, expression, and assembly, 2025 was marked by pressure on civic space that was driven by political discourse and administrative practices rather than formal legal restrictions. Political rhetoric portrayed certain CSOs, especially those working on minority rights, climate activism, and Palestine solidarity, as illegitimate or “anti-democratic”. This resulted in narrowing the space of what is considered acceptable dissent. Policing of demonstrations which are deemed “politically sensitive” also raised concerns, with several incidents of excessive force or large-scale detentions. Together, these trends contributed to a climate of caution and self-censorship, even though civil and political rights remained intact.

Conditional funding undermines autonomy and voice

Funding remained a central challenge, with many CSOs reporting unstable or politicised conditions for receipt of funds. For example, 69% of CSOs noted limited or absent core funding, and one in four had experienced conditions tied to politically-prioritised themes. Threats from politicians and political actors of defunding reinforced concerns that critical voices risk losing financial support. In particular, organisations engaged in litigation or “undesirable political activities” experienced increased concern over losing state funding. Private foundations continue to play a major role in funding, but with limited transparency and concentrated decision-making power.

A worsening of safe space across segments

Despite Denmark’s comparatively safe environment for civil society, 2025 marked a clear decline in the sense of security and inclusion experienced by several segments of the sector. Online harassment and smear campaigns targeted activists working on climate, gender, and Palestine-related issues, thereby contributing to a more hostile public climate. Minority groups continued to face disproportionate barriers to exercising their rights. At the same time, administrative decisions also contributed to this uncertainty and instability.

¹ [3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf](https://www.nyteuropa.dk/_files/ugd/3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf)



Instances such as municipalities denying Palestinian solidarity groups access to public venues curtailed civic space. Combined with political debates questioning Denmark's commitment to international human-rights standards, these developments signalled a weakening of the safe, inclusive, and enabling environment required for equal participation in democratic life.



Dimensions

1. Freedom of Association

Freedom of association is guaranteed under the Danish Constitution's Article 78 and is only limited if activities are unlawful.² The courts are cautious when limiting this freedom, with rare cases of the dissolution of the gang-based groups Loyal to Familia in 2021 and more recently Bandidos in October 2025 due to threats to public safety.³ Associations are not regulated by a specific law, but certain formal requirements are enforced, including that CSOs must have more than two members, be formally established in a documented founding meeting, and have statutes that are approved by the General Assembly.⁴ It also requires a form of management functioning as an authorising entity, and that it has specified finances and a bank account. This means that the threshold for forming an association is low, which also corresponds to a survey conducted amongst CSOs in 2025, wherein 67% of respondents found that the conditions are generally favourable.⁵

At the same time, however, CSOs have also experienced bureaucratic hurdles that make it difficult to exercise this right in full. One detrimental issue is with opening a bank account, which the aforementioned survey found was a challenge for 15% of respondents. It seems that issues with opening a bank account is most prevalent among CSOs and activists belonging to minority groups or who have partners in countries such as Afghanistan and Palestine⁶. In some cases these problems seem to be related to arbitrary enforcement of AML/CFT rules, and in other instances it seems to be related to issues of discrimination of minority groups.⁷ This limits the freedoms of specific groups to form an association.

On a positive note, in early 2025, the Danish government presented a policy proposal to reduce administrative burdens for volunteer associations and to strengthen civil society.⁸ The proposal introduces 30 initiatives focused on simplifying financial procedures, easing documentation requirements, and improving cooperation between associations, banks, and municipalities. Overall, the proposal aims to free up time and resources for the CSOs and alleviate bureaucratic burdens. This has been welcomed by both key stakeholders and local councils. The proposal is still in the implementation phase, but it is a step in the right direction for associations.

² § 78 / Folketingsloven <https://www.ft.dk/da/dokumenter/bestil-publikationer/publikationer/mingrundlov/min-grundlov/kapitel-8/paragraf-78get>

³ These groups are known as street gangs or biker gangs. They have been convicted for criminal and violent activities that pose a threat to the public. <https://www.tv2kosmopol.dk/metropolen/nu-er-dommen-faldet-bandidos-forbydes-i-danmark-063cb>

⁴ <https://frivilligheds.dk/guides/saadan-starter-i-nemt-en-ny-forening>

⁵ [3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b73d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf](https://www.ft.dk/da/dokumenter/bestil-publikationer/publikationer/mingrundlov/min-grundlov/kapitel-8/paragraf-78get)

⁶ [3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f93d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf](https://www.ft.dk/da/dokumenter/bestil-publikationer/publikationer/mingrundlov/min-grundlov/kapitel-8/paragraf-78get)

⁷ Information based on various interviews that Nyt Europas has held with CSOs and activists in Spring 2025

⁸ KUU Almdel Bilag 237 KUU Orientering om regeringsudspil vedr. afbureaukratisering af foreningslivet <https://www.ft.dk/samling/20241/almdel/KUU/bilag/237/3056632.pdfdsatsendocx>

The year 2024 saw high-profile cases of threats to defund CSOs working on the protection of minorities and/or fundamental rights, including ActionAid Denmark, LGBTQI+ Denmark, Oxfam Denmark and Sabaah.¹¹ This has continued in 2025, with a recent example from Helle Bonnesen – an MP from the Conservative People’s Party – who stated that Danish CSOs who sue the state should not be able to get financial support from the state.¹² This statement followed a lawsuit filed by three Danish and one Palestinian CSO against the Danish government over its weapons exports to Israel. The statement drew criticism from both the public and especially from other CSOs.¹³ Though Helle Bonnesen later withdrew parts of her statement, her reasoning can still be found in the political programme that four right-wing parties (Liberal Alliance, Denmark Democrats, Conservative People’s Party and Danish People’s Party) have launched prior to the upcoming national election in 2026.¹⁴ Liberal Alliance’s political spokesperson, Sólbjörg Jakobsen, has stated that, among other things, CSOs that engage in (unfavourable) political activities should not receive public funding.¹⁵

¹⁰ 3d7754-87c9c78c803d7754-87c9c78c803d4c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf/314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf
¹¹ 3d7754-87c9c78c803d7754-87c9c78c803d4c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf
¹² <https://civil-foren.dk/nyheder/nyheder/2025/03/civil-5-space-report-2025-EGC.pdf>
¹³ <https://www.altinget.dk/artikler/kv-lyt-tage-efter-tillid-toe-fra-sagsogeer-staten>
¹⁴ https://www.linkedin.com/posts/nyteuropapaarqo_fuldst%C3%A6ndigt-bekymrendeog-vigtigt-at-at-b%C3%A5de-activity-7379782587716223936-
¹⁵ aFQq7u2m_source/share&utm_medium=medium_desktop&rcm=aCoAAEz3PWQBJlGyIxtzIffEYdZAKg9QhDyP7M
¹⁶ <https://www.altinget.dk/udvaldelse/artikler/laekket-dokument-her-aer-den-blaa-opposition-50-forslag-til-en-borgerlig-regering>
¹⁷ <https://www.altinget.dk/artikler/konservative-vil-tage-stoetten-fra-danske-noeer-der-sagsogeer-staten>



3. Freedom of Peaceful Assembly

Article 79 of the Danish Constitution guarantees the right to freedom of peaceful assembly for all citizens and residents. Of surveyed CSOs in 2025, 77% state that they can freely assemble.²⁷ During the year, large demonstrations took place on international and domestic issues. Notably, Palestine solidarity demonstrations grew in size and frequency. One large-scale demonstration for Palestine on 4th October drew substantial crowds in Copenhagen, with demonstrators expressing solidarity with Palestinians amid the war in Gaza. Various CSOs, including Amnesty International and grassroots movements such as “Alle På Gaden For Et Frit Palæstina”, collaborated to promote the public gatherings. This can be viewed as a positive sign for civil society in its fulfillment of the right to peaceful assembly and expression. Annual events, such as Copenhagen Pride Week, also proceeded unhindered, with thousands participating in parades and gatherings celebrating LGBTI+ communities.²⁸ This can likewise be highlighted as an example of peaceful assembly and “safe space” for expression.

Alongside these encouraging examples, several developments in 2025 also raised concerns over how this right is upheld in practice, particularly during demonstrations considered as politically sensitive. In February, police arrested around 20 activists during a protest at the Maersk headquarters in Copenhagen, where demonstrators attempted to block the entrance in opposition to the company’s alleged involvement in transporting arms to Israel. Reports indicated police use of batons and tear gas, raising concerns about whether police intervention was proportionate, especially as the protest was mostly non-violent.²⁹ At a Palestine solidarity protest, during the European leaders’ summit in October 2025, police detained 13 protesters, with several arrests made on charges related to violations of public order.³⁰ While the police described these actions as proportionate responses to disturbances, civil society observers and legal experts have raised concerns that the level of force and the pattern of detentions risk narrowing the space for peaceful assembly and may have a chilling effect on participation in protests.³¹ NOAH, the Danish chapter of Friends of the Earth, has reported similar concerns for climate-related demonstrations, asserting that: “Many activists seem to experience a discrepancy between the law and what police enforces through their handling of protests and protesters”.³²

Finally, it is important to note there are subtle deterrents that can inhibit the right to peaceful assembly for certain groups. In May 2025, the Danish Institute for Human Rights published an analysis revealing that 47% of sexual minorities in Denmark had self-censored or withdrawn from public debate in the past year out of fear, including refraining from attending demonstrations, compared to 30% of cis-gendered heterosexual individuals. This suggests that fear of harassment or violence is disproportionately silencing LGBTQI+ persons and other minorities, effectively limiting their participation in assemblies and public events.³³

²⁷ 3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b73d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdfec7818601a6f9b.pdf

²⁸ Copenhagen Pride afslutter en fantastisk Pride-uge med stor opbakning og mange deltagere - Copenhagen Pride

²⁹ https://www.dawn.com/news/1894160?utm;3d7754_22fd8cbcc8dd4ffda465a80329476038.pdf

³⁰ Masseanholdelse: 13 demonstranter blokerede H.C. Andersens Boulevard – Ekstra Bladet

³¹ <https://cphpost.dk/2025-10-03/news/round-up/13-detained-after-pro-palestinian-protest-in-copenhagen/>

³² <https://noah.dk/sites/default/files/2024-11/Under%20pressure%20rapport.pdf>

³³ Seksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut <https://menneskeret.dk/nyheder/seksuelle-minoriteter-laegger-baad-paa-offentlige-debat-for-menneskerettigheder>



In summary, freedom of peaceful assembly in Denmark remained generally respected in 2025, with numerous high-profile demonstrations taking place and even contentious protests largely permitted. However, the year's events also indicate that challenges persist in ensuring proportional and rights-based policing. Taken together, the year's demonstrations suggest a need for closer oversight, transparent reporting on police conduct, and safeguards to prevent practices that could deter participation in public assemblies.

4. Freedom of Expression

Freedom of expression remains formally protected under Article 77 of the Danish Constitution, and the principle continues to be widely regarded as a defining feature of Danish democracy.³⁴ A majority of CSOs can express themselves freely and many report that they retain broad freedom to voice their positions without direct legal restrictions. This safe space for free expression demonstrates that Denmark continues to uphold a strong institutional foundation for open democratic debate. Several developments in 2025, nonetheless, also point to a widening gap between the strong legal framework and the lived experiences of an increasing number of CSOs. While no legislative prohibitions on speech have been introduced, CSOs report growing indirect pressures that influence their ability to speak freely and participate in public debate.

A key finding from the survey amongst CSOs was that a concerning number of CSOs have self-censored due to fears of political or financial repercussions. There are reports of increased political pressure and hostile rhetoric that portray some CSOs as partisan or illegitimate. This has created a shift in tone, where political actors publicly challenge the role and credibility of organisations that advocate for minority rights, humanitarian issues or criticise the current systems.³⁵ As mentioned earlier, this has been combined with threats by politicians to review or cut funding for organisations expressing critical views. CSOs note that this rhetoric has contributed to an atmosphere of caution and strategic silence, particularly for smaller organisations with limited financial resilience. This effect was particularly pronounced among actors working on minority rights, climate activism, and international solidarity issues.³⁶ Some CSO employees report having been instructed to refrain from certain statements in their work to avoid threats of defunding and public criticism.³⁷

Direct criticism from Danish politicians is viewed as a way for the government to interfere in CSOs' right to express their positions and platforms. Due to fear that their funding will be removed or limited, CSOs may self-censor, which is a troubling tendency.

A major policy development shaping debate in early 2025 concerned the legislative package derived from the 2024 political agreement to strengthen efforts against antisemitism.³⁸ The

³⁴ https://mpm.cmpf.eu.eu/public/upload/%2077%20_%20Folketinget.pdf

³⁵ Views voiced by several CSOs as a Roundtable Meeting with EU Commissioner Michal McGrath, 4 December 2025. The Meeting was organised by Nyt Europa.

³⁶ [3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601Seksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettigheder.pdf](https://3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601Seksuelle%20minoriteter%20l%C3%A6gger%20b%C3%A5nd%20p%C3%A5%20sig%20selv%20i%20den%20offentlige%20debat%20I%20Institut%20for%20Menneskerettigheder.pdf)

³⁷ [3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9Seksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettighedsministeriet](https://3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9Seksuelle%20minoriteter%20l%C3%A6gger%20b%C3%A5nd%20p%C3%A5%20sig%20selv%20i%20den%20offentlige%20debat%20I%20Institut%20for%20Menneskerettigheder.pdf)

³⁸ Alle Folketingets partier: Indsatsen mod antisemitisme styrkes | JustitSeksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettighedsministeriet



package was scheduled for fast-track adoption on 1 February 2025. While the initiative was widely welcomed for its aim of countering rising antisemitism, it raised significant concerns among civil society and media actors. ActionAid Denmark and others warned that the agreement's broad definition of "the new antisemitism," which characterises "contempt for the State of Israel" as a form of antisemitism, risks conflating legitimate criticism of a state with discrimination. Further concerns were raised regarding the proposal's expanded powers for police to order the removal of online content deemed "terror-related" without a court order under the Terrorist Content Online regulation. Media organisations argued that bypassing judicial oversight poses risks to press freedom and could contribute to overly cautious and excessive content moderation.³⁹

At the same time, positive developments emerged in regards to this legislative process. The wide cross-party support for addressing what in Denmark has been termed antisemitism reflects politicians' commitment to countering hate speech and protecting vulnerable communities. Several political actors also engaged directly with CSOs to discuss potential risks and the need for safeguards. This dialogue, though not always consistent, demonstrates an openness to receiving feedback from civil society.

Other developments in the media sphere pointed to emerging risks. The European Centre for Press and Media Freedom (ECPMF) issued a warning in 2025 about the proposed creation of a Danish Media Ombudsperson. According to ECPMF, the proposal, with powers to intervene in defamation cases, report matters to police, and act as a third party in civil proceedings, could undermine media independence and concentrate enforcement authority in a state-appointed body, posing risks to editorial freedom and freedom of expression.⁴⁰

Overall, developments in 2025 present a mixed picture. Denmark continues to uphold a strong legal and institutional framework for free expression, and many actors remain able to participate openly in democratic debate. However, the combined effect of harmful political rhetoric and funding-related pressures points to a civic environment wherein certain groups face growing vulnerabilities in expressing themselves freely.

5. Right to Participation in Decision-Making

Throughout 2025, Denmark experienced both encouraging initiatives and concerning developments in the inclusion of CSOs and citizens in governance and decision-making processes. On the upside, multiple efforts were made to engage civil society stakeholders in policy development and implementation. The *Green Tripartite Plan*, negotiated in 2024 by the government, agricultural organisations, the Danish Nature Agency and environmental organisations, initiated 23 town hall meetings throughout the affected regions.⁴¹ Elsewhere, authorities engaged in partnerships with CSOs to utilise their expertise. A notable example came from the Ministry of Defence utilising the Danish knowledge centre for gender and equality (KVINFO) to develop a report as part of a larger plan to combat

³⁹https://edri.org/our-work/denmark-will-issue-removalSeksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettigheder-orders-without-court-approval-impacts-on-free-speech-and-pro-palestinian-voices/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

⁴⁰https://www.rcmediafreedom.eu/News/Denmark-MFRR-partners-oppose-introduction-of-new-state-funded-media-Ombudsperson?utm_source=chatgpt.com

⁴¹ Skål hele lokalsamfundet inddrages, når landmænd sSeksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettighederkal omlægge egne marker til natur? Kommuner borgerinddrager vidt forskelligt | Indland | DR



harassment and improve the culture in the military, as more women are to be conscripted in 2026.⁴²

Another encouraging example occurred in May, when the communal Health and Care Administration signed a transparency agreement with the Forbundet af Offentligt Ansatte (FOA trade union). This *agreement on openness* committed the city of Århus to include care-sector employees (through FOA) in decision-making processes about workplace welfare and improvements in the protection of free speech.⁴³ Such steps reflect a positive development in democratic participation in public service governance, by giving frontline stakeholders a formal voice in shaping policies that affect them.

Despite strong formal structures and several positive examples, civil society participation in decision-making has also been subjected to formal restrictions, untransparent systems, and discursive marginalisation. This is particularly evident in the constant shortcomings of the public hearing system in Denmark, which continues to rely on short and ill-timed deadlines, often during holiday periods, with no visible improvement despite political acknowledgement of the issue.⁴⁴ Several CSOs have reported that consultation periods are scheduled over weekends, making it impossible to submit responses within the deadline. Furthermore, participation in the hearing process appears to be contingent upon submitting a formal consultation response, effectively excluding those unable to do so in time.⁴⁵ Some CSOs also report having their formal consultation response used against them, which further discourages participation.

At the same time, informal access to ministers and MPs remains largely untransparent, as they are not required to disclose with whom they meet.⁴⁶ This can disproportionality benefit larger and more well-resourced organisations and makes meaningful engagement more difficult for smaller CSOs. This could also result in civil dialogue becoming biased and less transparent.

These structural constraints are also seen in the political rhetoric from those in politics representing a hardline stance towards civil society actors who contradict the trajectory and direction of the current government. For example, when certain CSOs opposed the proposed emergency-surveillance bill, Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen publicly dismissed their concerns as exaggerated, downplaying the potential threats the bill would have on rights protection.⁴⁷ The law allows the Danish Security and Intelligence Service (PET) to collect and process large datasets from publicly available sources.⁴⁸

In summary, Danish participation in decision-making remains formally robust, but 2025 revealed vulnerabilities. Financial pressures on NGO and CSOs, fused with formal and

⁴² Ny rapport sætter fokus på rekruttering og ti Seksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettigheder | knytning af underrepræsenterede grupper i Hæren - Hæren har teamet op med Kvinfor at komme krænkende kultur til livs | Indland | DR.

⁴³ Åbenhedsaftale skal forebygge selvrensensur og styrke ansattes ytringsfri Seksuelle minoriteter lægger bånd på sig selv i den offentlige debat | Institut for Menneskerettigheder | FOA

⁴⁴ 3d7754_87c9c78c80314c29b7ec7818601a6f9b.pdf

⁴⁵ Reported by several CSOs at a dialogue meeting on December 16, 2025. The meeting was organized by Nyt Europa

⁴⁶ <https://www.altinget.dk/artikel/professor-lobbyisme-kræver-gennemsigtheds-desvaerre-er-transparensen-faldet-mens-diversiteten-er-steget>

⁴⁷ Mette Frederiksen tordner mod kritik | Political leaders and institutions must avoid rhetoric that delegitimises civil society to preserve an open and pluralistic civic space | tik af PET-lov

⁴⁸ Hummelgaard udskyder PET-lovforslag efter hård kritik

Political leaders and institutions must avoid rhetoric that delegitimises civil society to preserve an open and pluralistic civic space | tik | Politit | <https://www.dr.dk/nyheder/politik/hummelgaard-udskyder-pet-lovforslag> | DR



informal political obstructions towards dissenting civic actors, instil fear, uncertainty, and can diminish confidence in the system of governance.

6. Safe Space

Overall, civil society in Denmark operates within a relatively safe and enabling environment, relative to other European countries. In general, cases of judicial harassment, SLAPPs, police infiltration, surveillance or spying on civic actors, activists, journalists or opposition remains limited in scope. In other words, it is possible to mobilise as well as criticise the state to a high degree. However, this safe space is on the decline due to worrisome developments. These developments are mostly driven by changes in political rhetoric and practices, but there have also been legal initiatives that challenge this safe space. There are spaces in which specific groups are targeted, particularly with online smear campaigns, which is a continuing and worrying trend. Climate, Palestine solidarity, and gender and LGBTQI+ related topics are issues that can draw online harassment. The tone online and in public is generally becoming harsher, even from leading politicians. For example, at the yearly Democracy Festival in June, PM Mette Frederiksen and former chairperson of the Conservative People's Party Søren Pape framed climate activists as anti-democratic.⁴⁹ Such rhetoric not only risks creating polarisation but also vilifies parts of civil society.

There are also ongoing concerns over barriers to the fulfilment of fundamental rights in Denmark, with certain groups facing more obstacles. Documented restrictions have included discrimination of ethnic and religious minorities⁵⁰, indigenous peoples⁵¹, LGBTQI+ persons⁵² and people living with disabilities.⁵³ This also includes the political debate on re-immigration that singles out ethnic and religious minorities. Both Danish Jews and Danish Muslims report alarmingly high levels of discrimination and hate crimes.⁵⁴ This demonstrates how some groups cannot express themselves in a safe way.

Groups and activists working on issues of climate, refugee rights, and Palestine solidarity have likewise experienced serious problems in accessing their rights. One example includes how the municipality of Copenhagen did not allow Palestine solidarity events to use public venues, referencing a regulation that prohibits the conduct of foreign policy.⁵⁵ Another incident that occurred in 2025 was when the organisation *Jøder for Retfærdig Fred* (*Jews for a Just Peace, red.*) reported that expressions of Palestine solidarity were being censored by the organisers of a public sports event.⁵⁶

⁴⁹ <https://www.altinget.dk/fonde/artikel/vil-mette-frederiksen-redde-demokratiet-skal-hun-stoppe-lobbyisterne-ikke-aktivisterne; Demokrati er ikke kun en tilskuersport. Det overser kritikerne af klimaaktion https://www.information.dk/debat/2022/06/demokrati-kun-tilskuersport-overser-kritikerne-klimaaktionen-paa-folkemoedetnen-pa-Folkemoedet | Information>

⁵⁰ Oplevet etnisk diskrimination i Danmark <https://menneskeret.dk/files/media/document/Oplevet%20etnisk%20diskrimination%20i%20Danmark.pdfark.pdf>

⁵¹ FN med opsang til Danmark: Grønlandere har ikke de https://www.dr.dk/nyheder/indland/fn-med-opsang-til-danmark-gronlaendere-har-ikke-de-rettigheder-de-har-krav-paa-rettigheder, de har krav på | Indland | DR

⁵² <https://www.vive.dk/media/pure/18049/8565518>

⁵³ <https://menneskeret.dk/nyheder/handicapkomite-kritiserer-danmark-tilbagegang-rettighedsindsats>

⁵⁴ Jewish Life in Denmark - English summary.pdf; <https://www.mufr.dk/rapporter/islamofobirapport-2023/>

⁵⁵ <https://www.tv2kosmopol.dk/koebenhavn/det-er-en-sejr-for-ytringsfriheden>

⁵⁶ *Jøder for Retfærdig Fred* https://www.berlingske.dk/kommentarer/joeder-for-retfaerdig-fred-i-opraab-pro-palaestinensiske-ytringer-begraenses-mere-end-andre?qaa_at=eafs&qaa_n=AWetsdfKsWzbFUtdOgo3RYE7w55-5j7Hrz1_ZKIh37KTZgVpbE1HE3FzA553qlKm9es%3D&qaa_ts=6901f075&qaa_sig=BhhJgcZxAcwI_FZ4oLWNtboaiowdFmmGj50



A newer development is 'sindelagskontrol' (the political control of opinions), where the granting of Danish citizenship has become closely tied to the applicants' views and opinions and whether they align with Danish values. The Citizen Committee⁵⁷ investigated through interviews in 2024 three applications, which otherwise fulfilled all requirements, to determine their disposition regarding Danish values.⁵⁸ Some members of the Committee have, for instance, stated that citizenship should be denied if the applicant has expressed positive views about Hamas or is against homosexuality.⁵⁹ This has and could continue to lead to self-censorship and withdrawal from civic activity.⁶⁰

The state's efforts to promote and protect safe space, including complying with international human rights, has in recent years worsened due to increasingly loud voices questioning the legitimacy and relevance of international conventions and institutions.⁶¹ Most recently in December 2025, PM Mikkel Bjørn from Danish Folkparty stated that Denmark should 'ignore' the European Court of Justice's ruling on Denmark's so-called ghetto-law that likely violates the EU's Race Equality Directive⁶². Following the previous year's political debate, the government appointed an Ambassador for Conventions and launched an investigation into obligations and opportunities in connection with the European Convention on Human Rights. Critics are concerned that these initiatives will be used as a lever to challenge or even undermine the conventions⁶³. This could result in a serious risk of rights breaches.⁶⁴

Instead of ensuring a safe space, various initiatives and rhetoric have in 2025 directly or indirectly limited the space, with certain groups more at risk. This highlights the need for stronger measures to create a safe space and a need to ensure that everybody can participate safely in the Danish democracy. Without such safeguards, the democratic space risks becoming increasingly unequal and intimidating.

PKJXSutoKSBdaLHC3oBNYJkPyOq9zknmUR58BQ5A7LuvrD0A%3D%3D i opråb: Pro-palæstinensiske ytringer begrænses mere end andre | Kommentarer | Synspunkter | Opinion | Berlingske

⁵⁷ The Citizenship Committee, consisting of appointed members of parliament, is responsible for reviewing the bill on who should be granted Danish citizenship. The bill is called the Citizenship Act and is presented to the Danish Parliament by the Minister for Immigration and Integration twice a year.

⁵⁸ <https://www.dr.dk/nyheder/politik/kort-foer-kontroersielle-sindlagssamtaler-traekker-naestformand-sig-i-protest>

⁵⁹ <https://jyllands-posten.dk/debat/leder/ECET7686680/sindelagskontrol-er-et-afgoerende-anslag-mod-demokratiets-kernevaerdier/>

⁶⁰ Reported by several CSOs at a dialogue meeting with Commissioner Michael McGrath on December 4, 2025. The meeting was organized by Nyt Europa.

⁶¹ [3d7754_22fd8cbcc8dd4ffda465a80329476038.pdfda465a80329476038.pdf](https://www.nyteuropa.dk/files/ugd/3d7754_22fd8cbcc8dd4ffda465a80329476038.pdfda465a80329476038.pdf)

⁶² The CJEU found that the LAW could potentially lead to direct discrimination based on ethnicity and it is therefore likely that the law violates the EU's Race Equality Directive. <https://www.justiceinitiative.org/newsroom/european-court-reaffirms-principles-of-eu-law-making-it-likely-that-denmark-s-ghetto-package-is-racially-discriminatory>

⁶³ Regeringen vil have en konventionsambassat https://www.zetland.dk/historie/s8PlrhRq-ac0gnmiD-0c003dør_Jobbeskrivelse-udfordr-konventionerne

⁶⁴ <https://www.altinget.dk/artikel/stoklund-foreslaar-en-erodering-af-den-internationale-retsorden-og-sender-danmark-paa-slingrekurs>



Recommendations

Denmark should adopt a national civil society strategy developed in close collaboration with a broad and diverse range of CSOs to clearly articulate how fundamental freedoms and the wider civic space will be protected and strengthened. Such a strategy should aim to prevent political interference in civil society, promote rights-based governance, and establish permanent mechanisms to monitor emerging pressures on civic participation. In parallel, authorities should establish more predictable and transparent funding models by expanding access to core funding, clarifying allocation criteria, and ensuring that access to public funding is not influenced by political alignment, litigation, or critical advocacy. The public consultation system should also be reformed to allow for longer deadlines, more reliable notification procedures, and clearer guidance, enabling under-resourced CSOs to participate meaningfully in policy-making. Finally, concrete measures are needed to ensure a safe and inclusive civic space, including protection of CSOs, activists, journalists, and minorities from harassment, discrimination, and unequal treatment, both online and offline, and guaranteeing equal access to public spaces and democratic participation. Overall, these steps should be embedded in a coherent and long-term commitment by Danish authorities to safeguard an open, pluralistic, and enabling civic space as a cornerstone of democratic resilience.



Methodology

The Monitoring Action for Civic Space (MACS) methodology is designed to assess the state of civic space in EU countries with a focus on identifying both progress and deterioration in selected countries. The European Center for Not-for-Profit Law, European Civic Forum, Bulgarian Center for Not-for-Profit Law, Nyt Europa, Le Mouvement associatif, Hungarian Environmental Partnership Foundation, Netherlands Helsinki Committee, National Federation of Polish NGOs and the Civil Society Development Foundation joined forces to create MACS, using our collective expertise in the monitoring field. MACS consists of two complementary tools: the country reports and the Early Warning and Alert System.

The methodology monitors the following dimensions:

- Freedom of Association;
- Access to Funding;
- Freedom to Peaceful Assembly;
- Freedom of Expression;
- Participation in Decision-Making;
- Safe Space.

Each dimension is evaluated against a set of standards that capture the state's commitment under international and European human rights law. Each standard is assessed using qualitative indicators. For further details, download the [full methodology](#).

Throughout the reports, the red highlighted sections mark any developments that are directly falling or could potentially fall under the [sphere of competence of the European Union](#). This is to better understand where the EU has the legal authority to act, as opposed to developments that remain under Member State control.

2025 was the testing phase of the methodology by national partners. While some partners monitored all six dimensions, others focused on the three core civic space dimensions (association, peaceful assembly and expression) and selected one additional dimension aligned with national priorities.

Country process

This country chapter is based on extensive desk research by the authors from Nyt Europa. Sources include legislation, draft laws, policy documents, statements, reports, media coverage and other public material. The desk research is supplemented with insights from other Danish CSOs that have provided extensive knowledge and information on how the Danish civic space is from different perspectives.



In line with the MACS methodology, each of the six dimensions has been assigned a score using the standard four-point MACS scale. The scoring has been done with political advisors from Nyt Europa and together with other relevant Danish CSOs following the structured scoring plan. ECNL has also reviewed the assessments to ensure methodological consistency across all participating countries.

This country chapter seeks to offer a clear and evidence-based assessment and picture of the state of civic space in Denmark in 2025. It is also intended as a resource for policymakers, other CSO's, researchers and other relevant stakeholders that are committed to promoting democratic participation and being a part of safeguarding an enabling environment for civil society.



Monitoring Action
for Civic Space